

Paratransit Ergonomics

Hendro Kuntohadi

STMT Trisakti

stmt@indosat.net.id

hkuntohadi2011@gmail.com

Yosi Pahala

STMT Trisakti

stmt@indosat.net.id

Aang Gunawan

STMT Trisakti

stmt@indosat.net.id

ABSTRACT

In order to improve effectiveness, ergonomics is needed in every part of our activities including in designing the paratransit. Through library research, mini lab-testing, and field study, we could find out how ergonomics our paratransit is, in this case paratransit route Pusat Grosir Cililitan (PGC) Mekar Sari. Based on the study, it is revealed that the ergonomics value which should be applied cannot be achieved due to overloaded passengers of the transportation that caused inconvenience.

Keywords: paratransit, ergonomics, convenience

Introduction

Ergonomics is needed in every activities of life in order to provide convenience, get easiness, support low cost efficacy, avoid accidents, create good performance, boost efficiency and effectiveness. Otherwise, life will be much more complicated.

Convenience, welfare, and performance are easily affected by the facilities designed compatibly human bodies; their dimensions, normal body movement, muscle power, speed, temperature, sound, etc. One of the facilities is the transportation used by the people.

To design a compatible facilities including paratransit, we need a discipline called Ergonomics / Human Engineering. If people apply it when they design the paratransit, they will consider some factors which are the size and shape of human body, muscle power, fast response, light, eye-sight, ideal working temperature, noise, factors caused tiredness, and pollution effect. After considering these factors and applying the Ergonomics to the facilities they design, they will feel convenient,

pleasure, satisfied, healthy, have high productivity, work effectively, efficiently and at minimum risk.

To test whether the paratransit route PGC – Mekar Sari is ergonomics or not, researchers tried to collect the data through library research, take samples from mini ergonomics' lab, analyze statistically, give the data of body measurement, take field sample, and study the case.

Library research is needed to have a better understanding of what ergonomics is and how to apply it by salient reading through books, magazines, newspapers, and sites about Ergonomics, Human Engineering, and Designs.

Results and Discussion

The findings have been identified in the mini ergonomics' lab, where there are some steps to be applied. First, conducting the primary study to find out the dimensions of interior from the paratransit which will be studied. In this case, it means the

paratransit route PGC – Mekar Sari. Second, deciding the dimensions of human body to be measured. Then, designing and measuring the compatibility of the human body and the facilities; for example the seats in the vehicles.

The samples used in this study are taken randomly from passengers of ‘angkot’ (e.g Mikrolet, KWK) route PGC-Mekar Sari, respondents are based on various gender, ethnicity, age, and social status. After collecting the data and filling in the table, the researchers analyze the data statistically. First, we made the histogram and the normal distribution calculation. Then, we made the table based on percentile calculation (5 %, 50 %, and 95 %).

In designing the ergonomics’ seats, we have to decide the measurement of the seats and the interior of the transportation like the height and width of the door, the height of the bus, the width and height of the seat, the standard size of single and double seats, and also the space between seats.

The case can be studied then, after collecting the data field and studying the field physically. We analyze the data whether it has applied the ergonomics’ discipline or not related to the interior and facilities dimensions. We analyze the dimensions, shapes, and the ergonomics’ passengers’ capacity. As stated before, researchers pick out to study the paratransit route PGC – Mekar Sari for this case.

A. Ergonomics

The International Ergonomics Association defines ergonomics or human factors as follows; “Ergonomics (or human factors) is the scientific discipline concerned with the understanding of interactions among humans and other elements of a system, and the profession that applies theory, principles, data, and methods to design in

order to optimize human well-being and overall system performance.”

Ergonomics is rooted from Greek. The word is a combination of “Ergon” means work and “Nomos” means law or rules. The term is used in Europe and other countries with the same or different names. For example, in Scandinavia they use the word Biotechnology that resembles the same meaning. In USA and other countries, they popularize the word Human Factors that related to Ergonomics. While in the military services in USA, the term Human Engineering is more popular and the psychologists call it Psychology Engineering.

According to William S. Marras from Ohio State University, Human Factors focused on people and their interaction with products, utilities, facilities, procedures, and environment that are used at work and daily activities.

The purposes of Human Factors are:

1. To enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of daily activities and at work. It also includes enhancing the users’ satisfaction and productivity and of course minimizing the errors.
2. To enhance the expected human value such as enhancing the safety and convenience, the work satisfaction and quality of life and also minimizing the tiredness and stress.

Human factors and ergonomics are concerned with the ‘fit’ between the user, equipment, and their environments. It takes account of the user’s capabilities and limitations in seeking to ensure that tasks, functions, information, and the environment suit each user.

Chapanis (1985) defines Human Factors as a method to find and apply information collected about human behavior, ability, limitation, and other

characteristic to design tools, systems, tasks, activities, productive field, safety, convenience, and effectiveness.

Ergonomics, physically, is concerned with human body dimensions, temperature, noise, pollution, lights, colours effect, environment, human interaction with machines or tool, etc (see Table 1).

One of the sub-discipline of Ergonomics is Anthropometry. In Greek, it means measurement of the individual human. Today, it plays an important role in industrial design, clothing design, ergonomics, and architecture where statistical data about the distribution of body dimensions in the population are used to optimize products.

In this study, the measurement of human body dimensions covers sufficient samples to fulfill the statistical data. This statistic data contains sufficiently normal data that represents a population. The data needed must cover these categories; male, female, age, origin, social status or level, and others.

There are two different size of human body; big (maximum) and small (minimum). In the field of Ergonomics, only 95 % of human body dimensions that can be used to design and implement the tools while the rest 5 % will have its own problem.

The usual measurement of human body dimensions are:

1. 5 % percentile is called minimum
2. 50 % percentile is considered average
3. 95 % percentile is defined maximum

Each percentile is used and designed compatibly with the tools and environment (see Figure 1 & 2).

B. Statistical Analysis

This study is using SPSS 17 in processing the data collected. The result

shown in the form of histogram, normal data analysis, 5 %, 50 %, 95 % percentile. Using the histogram has given a chance to eliminate useless data such as outliers, maximum and minimum. To decide which percentile is used, the tools dimensions must be considered. As an example, the chair leg, the width of chair per person, must be considered before taking the 95 % percentile from men (see Table 2). For 5 % percentile, the researcher took the data from female length of arms in reaching the holder in Trans Jakarta (see Table 3). For 5 % to 95 % percentile, the researcher took an example from the adjusting seats in the car or from the adjusting head rest in a car.

C. Sample Collection in the Field

By measuring the interior dimensions of the paratransit and measuring the seats, the researcher took the primary data from 4 paratransits route PGC – Mekar Sari as the case study.

After doing the research of some paratransits route PGC – Mekar Sari, there are some results can be concluded. The first one is paratransit type Toyota Kijang, produced 1993 (Panca Tunggal). The width of the seats is 220 cm while male length of shoulder (95 % percentile) is 49.16 cm. In this case, the ergonomics seats availability is 4.5 persons. This data is calculated; $220 / 49.16 = 4.48$ (see Figure 3 & 4).

If the driver forces 5 persons to sit in that long seat, it will be uncomfortable. But, in reality, 6 persons can sit in that seat. Using the dimensions of hip width, only 5 persons can sit in the long seat in an uncomfortable way of sitting due to shoulder break one another. The data is calculated this way; $220 / 40.3 = 5.46$ (see Figure 5).

Talking about the smaller seat with only 151.5 cm height and the male shoulder width (95 % percentile) is 49.16, it shows

that ergonomically only available for 4 persons ($151.5 / 49.16 = 3.08$) beyond the reality (see Table 4).

The seat next to the driver is available for only 2 passengers. This is due to the calculation 140.5 cm (the width of the seat) divided by 49.16 (male shoulder width). Unfortunately, the drivers often force 3 persons to sit next to him (see Figure 6).

The second analysis is applied to Suzuki Carry 1000 cc, 2001 (Panca Tunggal). The first analysis is for the long seat. It has width 214 while male shoulder width is 49.16. It means after dividing the researcher get the availability 4 persons to sit there. As usual, if the driver forces 5 to 6 people to sit there, it will be much more uncomfortable. The second analysis is the door height. Male height (after bowing with 95 % percentile) is 124.14. It means the door height (only 99 cm) is really not sufficient for passengers. The door height should be 124.14 cm. This number comes from $124.14 - 99 = 25.14$. The third one is taken from the width of seat next to the driver. The width is 130 cm while the male shoulder is 49.16 cm. If we divide this number, we'll get only 2 persons available to sit there. In some cases, drivers force 3 persons to sit next to him.

The last type of paratransit is Toyota Kijang 2003 (RS). From the ergonomics analysis, the researchers get some results. Measuring the range of the long seat to the smaller seat is 142 cm, this calculation is needed to know the suitable space for passengers sitting face to face. The calculation is $(64.04 + 30.40) \times 2 = 188.88$. It means there will be high inconveniences due to the crossing feet of the passengers one another. They need space $64.04 \times 2 = 128.08$ cm. There is of course space for knees but still it is difficult for them to get in and get out (see Figure 7).


Conclusion

Based on the case study that has been explained above, it can be concluded that our paratransit esp. route PGC – Mekar Sari still needs to be improved to create ergonomics paratransit that support passengers conveniences. It can be done when the government regulation also work hand in hand with the ergonomics discipline in designing paratransit. Otherwise, the discipline cannot be applied due to the naughty drivers who love to force unreasonable number of passengers into their car.

References

- Doane, David P and Seward, Lori E. 2008. Essential Statistics in Business and Economics. 1st Edition. Boston, USA: Mc Graw Hill.
- [IEA] International Ergonomics Association. 2000. Triennial Report. Santa Monica CA: IEA Press.
- Sanders, Mark S and McCormick, Ernest J. 1993. *Human Factors in Engineering and Design*. Seventh Edition. New York, USA: McGraw-Hill.
- Supranto, J. 2001. Statistik, Teori dan Aplikasi. Jilid 1 & 2. Edisi 6. Jakarta: Erlangga
- Tarwaka, PG, Solichul, HA Bakri, dan Sudiajeng, Lilik. 2004. *Ergonomi untuk Keselamatan, Kesehatan Kerja, dan Produktivitas*. Cetakan 1. Surakarta: Uniba Press.

Appendices



Source : *Ergonomic, Image, Google.com*

Figure 1 Normal Distribution with 5 %, 50 %, and 95 % Percentile




Figure 2 Parts of Human Body Measured to Design Ergonomics Paratransit




Figure 3 A Seat Standard Size in Paratransit for One Person




Figure 4 Paratransit Interior Dimensions




Figure 5 Seats for Drivers and Passangers



Figure 6 Paratransit Interior Dimensions of Toyota Kijang



Figure 7 The Door and The Interior of Toyota Kijang

Table 1 Human Body Measurement Studied by McCormick in USA

Selected Anthropometric Dimensions											
ID	DESCRIPTION	MALE					FEMALE				
		MED	SD	MIN	MAX	GF	MED	SD	MIN	MAX	GF
A	Height	69.1	2.44	59.5	77.6	a	63.2	2.48	55	73	d
B	Shoulder Breadth	17.9	0.91	14.6	22.8	a	13.4	1.22	8.7	19.3	d
C	Hip Breadth(Seat)	15.3	1.11	12	21.3	b	14.6	1.04	12.1	20.6	c
C	Hip Breadth(Stand)	13.2	0.73	8.3	15.8	a	15	1.03	11.8	18.9	e
C	Hip Breadth(Seat)	13.9	0.87	11.4	18.1	a					
D	Foot Breadth	3.8	0.19	3.2	4.7	a					
E	Arm Soan	70.8	2.94	58.3	82.3	a					
F	Arm Reach	34.6	1.65	27.6	39.8	d	31.8	1.29	28.3	35.4	e
G	Chest Depth	9	0.75	6.7	13	a					
H	Hand Breadth	3.5	0.16	3	4.1	a					
I	Forearm-Hand	18.9	0.81	15.4	22.1						
J	Buttock-Knee	23.6	1.06	18.5	27.6	a	22.6	0.96	19.7	26.7	e
K	Seat Length	18.9	0.96	15.4	23.1	b	18.2	1.04	15.2	22.2	c
L	Sitting Knee Hgt	21.7	0.99	17.3	24.8	a	17.2	1.07			d
M	Foot Length	10.5	0.45	8.9	12.2	a	9.6	0.4	8.9	10.9	e
N	Erect Sit Height†	36	1.29	29.9	40.2	a	34.1	1.02	30.7	34.4	e
P	Shoulder Hgt(Seat)	23.3	1.14	18.9	27.2	a	24.6	3.02			d
Q	Seat Height	19	0.89	15.6	22	b	18.1	0.89	15.4	20.6	c

Notes:

Source: McCormick, 1964

* Normal Sitting Height is about 2" Less Than Erect Height

Group "c" Data Are Medians, Groups "d" & "e" Data Are Means

Groups:

- a- 4000 Air Force Flying Personnel, Herzberg, Daniels and Churchill
- b- 1959 Civilian Males, Hooten and Staff
- c- 1908 Civilian Females, Hooten and Staff
- d- 10,042 Civilian Females, O'Brien and Shelton
- e- 447 Female Pilots, Randall, Damon, Benton and Pratt

Table 2 Male Body Dimensions, n = 70

No	Parts of The Body	Percentile 5% (Minimum)	Percentile 50% (Average)	Percentile 95% (Maximum)
1	height	153.12	166.4	179.44
2	arm span height	161.74	176.2	188.46
3	weight	20.00	26.00	35.08
4	foot weight	8.72	10.50	13.02
5	height when bowing	104.18	115.90	137.94
6	head to toe	76.46	82.40	89.98
7	shoulder height	50.52	57.00	62.90
8	seat length	36.40	42.93	49.06
9	seat height	38.50	42.40	47.22
10	leg length	23.44	28.50	30.40
11	width	10.46	13.30	17.20
12	hip to knee	47.52	56.00	64.04
13	arm span	54.76	63.40	69.54
14	head width	15.16	17.00	18.58
15	5 fingers width	9.32	10.80	13.000
16	4 fingers width	6.6	8.20	10.00
17	hip width	29.64	33.20	40.30
18	shoulder width	39.94	44.20	49.16

Table 3 Female Body Dimensions, n = 30

No	Parts of The Body	Percentile 5% (Minimum)	Percentile 50% (Average)	Percentile 95% (Maximum)
1	height	148.70	158.30	167.17
2	arm span height	158.17	167.55	186.29
3	weight	19.82	24.05	32.96
4	foot weight	8.08	9.55	11.67
5	height when bowing	106.27	114.25	124.14
6	head to toe	74.39	80.25	85.39
7	shoulder height	43.86	54.05	61.71
8	seat length	29.76	44.3	49.75
9	seat height	37.32	41.40	50.03
10	leg length	24.00	25.75	29.88
11	width	9.71	11.55	16.00
12	hip to knee	49.58	53.85	62.60
13	arm span	53.47	58.95	69.63
14	head width	12.98	16.55	19.05
15	5 fingers width	9.00	10.15	11.73
16	4 fingers width	6.39	8.00	9.73
17	hip width	29.35	32.85	39.4
18	shoulder width	36.82	43	41.62

Table 4 The Measurement Result of Interior Paratransit Dimensions

No	Description	Paratransit 1	Paratransit 2	Paratransit 3	Paratransit 4
1	Brand	Toyota Kijang	Toyota Kijang	Suzuki Carry 1500 cc	Suzuki Carry 1000 cc
2	Year	1993	2003	2002	2001
3	Body of a car	Panca Tunggal	RS	Panca Tunggal	Panca Tunggal
4	Route	PGC-Mekar Sari	PGC-Mekar Sari	PGC-Mekar Sari	PGC-Mekar Sari
5	1=door height	109.5	110.3	107.0	99.0
6	2=door height	64.0	72.5	69.5	70.0
7	3=deck length	129.0	132.5	128.4	124.2
8	4=stair length	13.0	19.7	15.4	13.7
9	5=cabin height above the head	127.5	130.5	122.0	116.0
10	6=pedestal seat height	33.0	33.5	34.5	27.0
11	7=length cushion	38.0	33.5	29.5	32.0
12	8=the width of seat base	220.0	219.3	232.0	214.0
13	9=the width of seat base	34.5	32.0	32.2	31.5
14	10=pedestal seat - window height	53.5	50.5	51.1	49.5
15	11=cushion width	151.5	136.0	142.5	134.5
16	12= face to face cushions width	135.3	142.0	137.5	129.0
17	13=passangers cabin seat width	140.5	141.5	132.0	130.0
18	14=driver seat height	49.5	53.0	58.0	53.0
19	15=driver cushion length	47.5	48.4	45.4	47.3
20	16=driver cushion lenght	24.5	34.5	36.1	34.0
21	17=deck length	230.0	218.0	226.0	218.0

Halaman Ini Sengaja Dikosongkan